

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/817,133	04/01/2004	Carl Woods	LAM2P475	1495
25920	7590 08/14/2006		EXAMINER	
MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP			STINSON, FRANKIE L	
710 LAKEW SUITE 200	AY DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085			1746	
			DATE MAILED: 08/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
		10/817,133	WOODS ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		FRANKIE L. STINSON	1746		
Period for Reply	TEMO DATE OF UNS COMMUNICATION APPO	ears on the cover sneet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status			•		
1)⊠ Respon	sive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Jul</u>	<u>ne 2006</u> .			
2a)∏ This act	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since th	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of CI	aims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 and 17-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Pape	ers .				
9)☐ The spec	cification is objected to by the Examiner	•			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of Refere 2) Notice of Drafts	person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) il Date <u>various</u> .	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa			

Application/Control Number: 10/817,133

Art Unit: 1746

1. Claims 10-16 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on June 21, 2006.

Page 2

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

70', 71'). Also note the etching and drying.

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-8 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Satoh et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 5,979,475).

 Re claims 1 and 17, for example, note for example that Satoh discloses (see figs. 20A, 20B) the first and second vertically movable manifolds, (20, 20') which move into proximity generating a meniscus with the substrate, and the plurality of fluids (70, 71,
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Satoh in view of Batchelder (U. S. Pat. No. 5,472,502).

Claim 8 defines over Satoh only in the recitation of the process surface positioned beyond the manifold. Batchelder discloses the process surface as claimed. It therefore would have been obvious one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of

Application/Control Number: 10/817,133

Art Unit: 1746

Satoh, to include a surface as taught by Batchelder since this is consider to be an obvious substitution of equivalents.

6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Satoh in view of Japan'828 (Japan 62-150828).

Claim 9 defines over Satoh only in the recitation of the third removing conduit.

Japan'828 discloses the removing conduit. It therefore would have been obvious one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Satoh, to include a removing conduit as taught by Japan'828, for the purpose of quickly removing the spent contaminated fluid.

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In Hood, et al., Cady, Oka et al., Shinbara et al. and Lubomirsky et al., note the treatment means.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is (571) 272-1308. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm and some Saturdays from approximately 5:30 am to 11:30 am.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr, can be reached on (571) 272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/817,133

Art Unit: 1746

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Fls

KIE L. STINSON **Primary Examiner GROUP ART UNIT 1746** Page 4